

NOTES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW MEETING

Thank you for your time.

I am not against developing the island. I am pro development.

I am not anti-gambling.

I am asking you to delay your decision on this project until the required procedures are followed.

What concerns me on this development is the review process itself.

I have six specific items I wish to address.

1. The application shows this as a minor site review. The code clearly defines the characteristics of a minor site review and this modification is clearly not within that definition. The code clearly states that modifications that are not minor should be filed as a major site review.
4.06.03
Perdido Key Condominiums Site Plan
2. Traffic Concurrency Worksheet calculations are based on a building of 15,000 sq. ft. The application has been modified to a 18,000 sq. ft. building.
3. Water and Sewer Concurrency. There is not a current water or sewer concurrency statement in the file. The document in the file from ECUA is based upon the Perdido Key Condominiums project. The letter from ECUA clearly states that they can guarantee service for a period of one year. The letter is dated 10-24-2007.
ECUA letter
4. The floor plan is does not have an engineer's seal or signature.
5. The elevation drawing is not a scaled drawing and does not have an engineer's seal.
6. There are inconsistencies throughout the file as to the size of the project.

This project appears to have been placed on the fast track for approval. This concerns me as there are serious and required components that have not been answered.

I sent your office an email on February 20, 2009. Prior to sending the email, I spoke with your staff. I was told by your staff that due to the sensitive nature of my concerns, they would need guidance from Mr. Kerr before they could respond. I have not received a response.

Again, I am asking that this be delayed until the required procedures are followed.

Thank You.

EXHIBIT "G"